18th November 1930] [Mr. P. T. Rajan]

of traffic in Government roads and move that the Bill be taken into consideration. The object of the Bill is as follows:—

'The Tellicherry-Coorg road is maintained by the Public Works Department and the Calicut-Mysore road has been excluded from the operation of the Madras Local Boards Act and consequently the traffic by-laws of the Malabar District Board which were approved in G.O. No. 4756, L. & M., dated 1st December 1928, did not apply to these roads.'

"The Bill is intended to confer the same powers of control over traffic on such roads as the Madras District Municipalities and Local Boards Act confer. With these few words I move that the Bill be taken into consideration."

The hon. Diwan Bahadur B. MUNISWAMI NAYUDU:—"I second it."

Rao Bahadur C. S. RATNASABHAPATI MUDALIYAR:—"The Bill as it stands contains only four sections. When they are brought into effect, I am afraid that some of the provisions contained in this Bill will come into conflict with the existing provisions of the Local Boards Act. For this reason I move that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following Members:—

1. The hon. the Minister for Public Works.
2. The hon. the Minister for Local Self Government.
3. The hon. the Advocate-General.
4. Dr. P. Subbarayan.
5. Mr. A. Ranganatha Mudaliyar.
6. ,, F. E. James.
7. ,, M. A. Muthiah Chettiyar.
8. Diwan Bahadur M. Gopalaswami Mudaliyar.
9. Rao Bahadur G. Jagannadha Raju.
10. Mr. Daniel Thomas.
11. Rao Bahadur R. K. Venugopal Nayudu.
12. Subadar-Major S. A. Nanjappa Bahadur.
13. Rao Bahadur C. S. Ratnasabhapati Mudaliyar.
14. Mr. W. P. A. Soundara Pandian."

Mr. K. P. RAMAN MENON seconded the motion.

The hon. Mr. P. T. RAJAN:—"I have no objection in accepting the amendment."

The amendment was put and carried and the Bill was referred to the Select Committee composed as above.

XIX.—A BILL TO AMEND CERTAIN ENACTMENTS RELATING TO THE ANDHRA UNIVERSITY.

The hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR:—"I beg to introduce a Bill [a] to amend certain enactments relating to the Andhra University (Bill No. 12 of 1930) which have been set out in the schedule and move that the Bill be taken into consideration at once.".

The hon. Mr. P. T. RAJAN:—"I second it."

The motion was put and carried.

---

[a] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette,* dated 4th November 1930 as Bill No. 12 of 1930.

* The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"I now put clauses 1 and 2 to the House."

Clauses 1 and 2 of the Bill were put and carried and were allowed to stand part of the Bill.

* The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"I now put the preamble to the vote of the House."

The preamble was put and carried and was allowed to stand part of the Bill.

4-15 p.m. * The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"I now put the schedule to the vote of the house."

The motion was carried and the schedule was allowed to form part of the Bill.

* The hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR:—"Mr. President, Sir, I now move that the Bill be passed into law. The amendments proposed are of a very formal character and no amendment to the provisions of the Bill has been moved in the Council. I therefore move that the Bill be passed into law."

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir MAHOMED USMAN SAHIB Bahadur:—"I second it."

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was passed into law.

XX.—A BILL FURTHER TO AMEND THE MADRAS CIVIL COURTS ACT, 1873 (BILL No. 9 OF 1930).

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir M. KRISHNAN NAYAR:—"Sir, I introduce the Bill[a] further to amend the Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873."

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir MAHOMED USMAN SAHIB Bahadur:—"I second it."

* The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir M. KRISHNAN NAYAR:—"I move, Sir, that the Bill be taken into consideration at once. The object of the Bill is to enable the Government, when necessary, to appoint additional District Judges to District Courts on the recommendation of and with the consent of the High Court. The existing state of affairs is this. When there are heavy arrears in any District Court, under the provisions of the Madras Civil Courts Act the Government have the power of establishing additional District Courts. That means that all the paraphernalia necessary for a District Court, such as the appointment of a Sarishtadar, of the necessary clerks and of the process-serving establishment, would have to be provided for. This is a cumbersome process and it is also a costly process. Under a particular provision in the Criminal Procedure Code, when there are heavy criminal cases, criminal appeals or original sessions cases, in any Sessions Court, the

[a] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 28th October 1930 as Bill No. 9 of 1930.